Meeting called to order by David Robinson, Executive Officer.

Motion to approve the business meeting minutes from last year. Unanimously approved.

I. Reports of officers

David Robinson, Executive Officer

David noted that he is wrapping up year two as Executive Officer and that things have been going smoothly with Review Committee, Program Chair, hosts, etc. Progress has been made on developing the Young Scholar Award and the Travel Award. Changes to the Cheiron Book Prize will be considered in this meeting. On the website, not much has been changed yet.

Cathy Faye, E-communications Editor

There have been few changes to website this year, other than updating contact information for some people. Members should send her email with updated details.

Katalin Dzinas has been taking photographs at this meeting. These will be added to the website this summer. There are also photographs from Ben Harris from past Cheiron meetings. We are still working on how to put them on the site.

The Cummings Centre for the History of Psychology in Akron has been approached by Jill Blaemers, who is in charge of the content development for PsycINFO at the American Psychological Association (APA). She wants to know if we are open to having APA index Cheiron materials in PsycEXTRA’s index of “grey literature.” Cathy ran this by the Cheiron officers, who had no objections, so this is already underway. The Cheiron website is being indexed, including past programs. It will also be include, potentially, abstracts from past programs, if they are online, and it may also be able to include other items from Cheiron meetings in the database. Being part of the PsycEXTRA project should provide more exposure for the society and its members’ work.

Courtney Thompson suggested that Cheiron look into providing pedagogical resources on the website, especially syllabi. We will look at what the Society for the History of Psychology (SHP, Division 26 of the APA) has on their site currently, as well as materials on the National Library of Medicine site. Harry Whitaker has volunteered to provide a link to his resources. We will issue a call for people to contact Courtney with resources. Cathy noted that this would require some work and some planning; she could post the information if someone else curates the content. Jennifer Bazar suggested that Cheiron collaborate with SHP, which has teaching materials on their website. Cathy noted that we could put the same content on both websites, link them, or decide how best to divide content. The SHP website, for instance, has useful resources for those who teach history of psychology specifically, but perhaps not much for instructors with broader kinds of courses, and Cheiron extends beyond the history of psychology. David R. noted that the project is in the right hands for the time being. Courtney and others working on it can also consult with him, Deborah Johnson, and others who once gathered such material long before we had a website.

Cathy asked how everyone is doing with our listserv, called Cheiron Forum, hosted on Google Groups. A few people have had issues getting onto it. Chris Green explained that his
problem was that he had an old Gmail account that he no longer used, and Google Groups would not allow him (or made it difficult) to use another Gmail account. David R. noted that he had the same issue but was able to solve it, when he saw how Google allows choosing between different Gmail accounts. Cathy asked people to let her know of such difficulties and she can probably point to the solution.

David R.: It is important that members be in our Google Group because important information is posted there. Cathy agreed, hoping that everyone attending the conference is getting daily posts to Cheiron Forum.

David Devonis, Treasurer
David informed us that Cheiron is a “501(c)3 public charity and enjoys all the privileges that appertain thereto,” particularly tax deductions for donations. We are also current with our required yearly IRS reports (Form 990-N e-postcard). As a public charity, “We do not engage in political activity.”

Financial situation as of June 3, 2015.

Assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>US$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD #1</td>
<td>24,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD #2</td>
<td>17,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking account</td>
<td>48,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Scarborough Fund</td>
<td>3,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are up $6000 from last year with total assets of $94,438. Compare this to assets of $63,646 in 2007-08.


Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues</td>
<td>1870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book auction</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Smith Fund</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP contributions</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarborough Fund</td>
<td>984*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overage, conference 2014</td>
<td>5869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>10512</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes $500 honorarium donated by our Scarborough Lecturer last year, Daniel Robinson.

Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Awards, 14</td>
<td>2250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP donation</td>
<td>1235 (for two years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PayPal fees etc.</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Prize</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>4165</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2015-16 (Projection)
**Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Smith</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.S. Fund</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stipends</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Prize</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PayPal Fees</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$4,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

David D.: Expenses for the Barcelona joint meeting in 2016 may go higher, and this is hard to predict. We need not be too concerned, even if we have to go into the red a bit next year. The past few years have been very good, financially.

**Membership 2015-16**

*In database:*

- Members: 249 (70 paid up through 2015 or beyond)
- New members: 6
- Dues-exempt members: 40
- Life members: 13
- New dues-exempt 0; new life members 1; retired 1; deceased 1; reinstated 1

*Members with dues in arrears:*

- 1 yr: 30
- 2+ yrs: 106

“Core” membership is approximately 135 (about 85 paying regularly and 50 exempt/life)

David D. reflects that in 2010 we were at 254 members, and we continue to hold our own with membership. Who qualifies as exempt? Students and those who request to be exempt because they are retired. Some members request it, some don’t.

David R.: Have we been adding to the membership rolls the people who attend only for one year? Do you count them as members in your reports? David D.: Not usually, although students who present are automatically dues-exempt members for 3 years. Some come once and never again. David R.: Can such conference attendees vote in the business meeting? David D.: Yes.

David R. called for a vote of thanks to Cathy Faye and David Devonis, and all lauded their essential contributions.

**II. Meeting reports**

**Report by Jennifer Bazar, 2015 Program Chair**

*Original program acceptances:*

- 26 individual papers
- 3 symposia
• 4 posters
• 1 workshop
• 1 movie night
• Elizabeth Scarborough Lecture

This is comparable in size to last year’s program. The majority of papers/posters received two blind reviews. Some received only one, depending on when it was received, as we were lax on the deadline this year.

Final program:
• 21 individual papers (lost 5 papers)
• 3 symposia
• 3 posters (lost 1 poster)
• 1 workshop
• 1 movie night
• Elizabeth Scarborough Lecture
= 46 presenters and co-authors

Due to a variety of reasons (funding, medical, etc.), we lost some in the final weeks leading up to the conference: five papers and one poster. There were 6 student papers/posters. The 7th was unable to present his paper in person due to a cancelled flight, but his presentation was posted to the Cheiron Forum.

International participants included individuals from China, Japan, UK, Switzerland, Russia, Brazil, Canada (and one from Hungary who had to cancel). The program chair also received many, many emails from people who said they could not come because they were "saving for Barcelona next year." Besides the program, the conference included a pre-conference excursion, two lunchtime tours, the poster reception, a reception at Ed Morris’s house, and the banquet.

Jennifer noted that, in addition to the traditional print program, we tried out a new online app this year called “Guidebook.” The app is free for up to 200 downloads (and with no PDF documents); we ended up with 27 users (14 guide downloads, 13 web sessions). If the app is of interest, information on it can be passed along to future program chairs. Chris Green heartily approved the app. A majority at the business meeting agreed, having used it. Jennifer noted that one downside to the “Guidebook” app might be price, which is $1700 for the paid version (anything over 200 downloads). Depending on usage numbers, the app may not work for us in future years.

Local Hosts, Edward Morris and Ruth Ann Atchley, reported by Ed
Ed thanked Jennifer for a great program, and all applauded. Cathy Faye appreciated both of them for all the problem solving. Ed noted that future meetings could profit from a tech-savvy host. He offered thanks to his Provost, Dean, etc. The Dean gave $3500 for certain expenses of the conference, not all of which was spent. David R. requested the Dean’s address so that he could send thanks on Cheiron’s behalf. Ed also thanked the staff who helped with the conference, which proved a bigger job than anticipated; early planning is a must for any host.

Ed noted a few small issues in settling people into the dorms, but all agreed that they were very comfortable; some participants stayed in hotels downtown. We did not have a major
excursion this year; it would have taken too much time to go to Kansas City. Instead we did the pre-conference excursion and the campus tours at lunchtime. Ed extended thanks to Jonathan Barker for helping so much with the Oskaloosa excursion.

David R. asked for the number of registrants at the conference. Ed estimated 55, though this count would be increased by several from the Barker group, most of whom did not formally register but attended some sessions.

David R. thanked Ed for the fabulous reception at his house, noting that our Russian participants from Russia especially appreciated this event. Everyone applauded in agreement: it was very special to have the Cheiron reception in Ed’s home. Ed thanked us for the honor, and David R. expressed Cheiron’s hearty thanks for all that Ed did as host.

III. OLD BUSINESS

Announcement of 2014 Cheiron Young Scholar Award:

The 2014 Cheiron Young Scholar Award goes to Courtney Thompson, PhD candidate in History of Science and Medicine at Yale University, for her paper, “‘An Unfit Subject for the Gallows’: Phrenology, Insanity, and Criminal Responsibility in America, 1830-1850.” The Award Committee is impressed by how Courtney uncovered phrenological terminology in records of criminal proceedings. Her paper is nicely focused on an important topic that should be of interest to many readers; it is also well-grounded in the secondary literature.

The Award Committee thanks Courtney and five others who submitted their papers for our consideration. All of them were excellent, making the choosing difficult but the deliberations very interesting. We hope that these and other qualified young scholars will continue to submit their work for our award. See “Rules” below, which have recently been clarified, though not substantially changed.

2014 Cheiron Young Scholar Award Committee: David Robinson (chair), Geoffrey Blowers, Cathy Faye, Barbara Lusk

David R. noted that Courtney Thompson (cand. phil., Yale) had recently become Dr. Courtney Thompson. The award citation was published in Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences (JHBS) and posted on the Cheiron website. Courtney explained that she was not sure yet whether she would publish her article in JHBS, to gain the $500 honorarium. Ian Nicholson, JHBS editor, has in the past assured us that this is not a problem: we should keep this award program going anyway. The meeting expressed thanks to this committee for last year.

Cheiron Young Scholar Award 2015
Larry Stern will chair; deadline is July 20, with entries emailed to David Robinson.

Call for submissions for 2015 Young Scholar Award: Since 2008, Cheiron has awarded a prize for the best paper or symposium presentation by a young scholar. To be eligible for consideration, the young scholar must be the sole or first author on the paper and must be responsible for the bulk of the work of the paper. The young scholar must be a student currently or must have completed doctoral work not more than 5 years prior to the meeting. Past winners of this award are no longer eligible.
About three weeks after the meeting, applicants for this award will submit a copy of the presented paper (rather than the abstract); it may include further, minor changes. Submissions go to the Cheiron Executive Officer, who sets the exact deadline and determines eligibility, and the entries will be judged by members of the Program Committee and the Review Committee. The winner will receive a certificate from Cheiron and will be asked to submit the paper to the *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences* within a reasonable period of time. The Award winner may ask Cheiron for assistance in preparing the paper for submission to *JHBS*. If the paper is accepted by *JHBS* for publication, the winner will receive a $500 honorarium from the publisher, Wiley-Blackwell, in recognition of the Cheiron Young Scholar Award. Please note that the award committee may choose not to grant an award in any given year and that the honorarium depends on publication in *JHBS*, in addition to winning the Award.

We ask all young scholars who presented – students and those less than five years past the doctorate – to submit. We have made it a rule to exclude past winners. David R. set a deadline of July 20 for this year’s competition; he will use Ed’s email list of all participants and send out the call. All who are eligible should write up the text of the presentation, making whatever changes they want; David R. will deal with any questions of eligibility, then hand over the eligible papers to Larry Stern (Committee Chair) to share with his committee.

Jennifer Bazar observed that students don’t seem to be declaring they are student when submitting for the program. David R. answered that he does not mind emailing everyone a reminder. We could also stick a notice about the award into registration packets in future years; Cathy Faye remembered that we did this last year. Officers will have to figure out how to handle this award in Barcelona next year, as ESHHS has a similar award.

**2016 Cheiron Book Prize, Phyllis Wentworth, committee chair.**

Call for submissions goes out immediately, deadline October 1.

**Call for submissions to 2016 Cheiron Book Prize**

Beginning in 2004, Cheiron: The International Society for the History of Behavioral and Social Sciences has awarded the Cheiron Book Prize biennially for an outstanding monograph in the history of the social/behavioral/human sciences. For more on Cheiron, see [https://www.uakron.edu/cheiron/](https://www.uakron.edu/cheiron/)

Eligible works for the **2016 Cheiron Book Prize** include original book-length historical studies, written in English and published in 2013, 2014, or 2015. Topical areas can include, but are not limited to, histories of psychology, psychiatry, anthropology, sociology, and social statistics. Works that are primarily history of medicine or history of education are not suitable entries, unless they are strongly tied to the history of the social/behavioral/human sciences. Edited collections or anthologies are not eligible, nor are conventional textbooks. Submissions will be judged on the basis of their scholarly character, depth of research, and the importance of their contribution to the field. Submissions can be made by publishers or authors.

**Deadline:** Two copies of each entry must be received by the committee chair by **15 October 2015**. Books that are printed later in 2015 can be eligible for the next competition; only printed books are eligible.
The author of the winning book will receive $500 plus up to $300 in travel expenses to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Cheiron in Barcelona, Spain, where the prize will be awarded. Remote-electronic presentation is possible, for a winner who cannot make the meeting. Announcements of the award will be widely circulated to relevant journals and organizations.

To enter the competition, mail two copies of each entry, clearly labeled "2016 Cheiron Book Prize" directly to:
(Use home address below, more convenient than the college address)

Phyllis Wentworth
27 Tanager Street
Arlington, MA 02476
wentworthp@wit.edu

David R. says that for the 2016 prize, it will be business as usual, but Review Committee has been talking about making our Book Prize annual rather than biennial. Phyllis Wentworth will chair the 2016 competition, and the call will go out immediately for books to be delivered by Oct 1, 2015. We have to receive 2 copies of each book; we do not accept electronic copies. It would be nice to operate electronically, but at this point it would be too awkward to allow the different media. For now, all must be submitted in print, publication dates 2013 through 2015.

Proposed Change: ANNUAL Cheiron Book Prize

Call for Submissions
2017 Cheiron Book Prize

Beginning in 2004, Cheiron: The International Society for the History of Behavioral and Social Sciences has awarded the Cheiron Book Prize for an outstanding monograph in the history of the social/behavioral/human sciences. Until 2016 the prize was biennial; starting 2017 Cheiron awards the prize annually. For more on Cheiron, including past Book Prize winners, see https://www.uakron.edu/cheiron/

Eligible works for the 2017 Cheiron Book Prize include original book-length historical studies, written in English and published in 2015 or 2016. Topical areas can include, but are not limited to, histories of psychology, psychiatry, anthropology, sociology, and social statistics. Works that are primarily history of medicine or history of education are not suitable entries, unless they are strongly tied to the history of the social/behavioral/human sciences. Edited collections or anthologies are not eligible, nor are conventional textbooks. Submissions will be judged on the basis of their scholarly character, depth of research, and the importance of their contribution to the field. Submissions can be made by publishers or authors.

Deadline: Two copies of each entry must be received by the committee chair by 1 October 2016. Books that are printed late in 2016 are eligible for the next competition; only printed books are eligible.

The author of the winning book will receive $500 plus up to $300 in travel expenses to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Cheiron held at XXX University, where the prize will be awarded. Remote-electronic presentation is possible, for a winner who cannot make the meeting. Announcements of the award will be widely circulated to relevant journals and organizations.
To enter the competition, two copies of each entry, clearly labeled "2017 Cheiron Book Prize," must be mailed directly to:
[Contact information of Chair of 2016 Book Prize Committee]

Discussions of Proposed Change to make Cheiron Book Prize annual:

Issues to consider:
The transition should be seamless, from the point of view of the authors/publishers. On the side of Cheiron, more work and expense can be anticipated; however, it does not have to be overwhelming, if we are well organized.

Organizing the committee:
To this point, every new Book Prize Committee has had to “reinvent the wheel” to some extent. The Review Committee proposes to establish a kind of “running, perpetual” Book Prize Committee, where four committee members commit to serving two to four years, with at least one member rotating off each year. A committee chair should serve at least one more year after chairing, to advise the next chair. Also, the new chair should have served at least one year before chairing. The Executive Officer will appoint the chair and the other three members of the Book Prize Committee, in consultation with the Review Committee.

Because sabbaticals and other commitments or problems inevitably arise, we will need to be flexible on the assignments to the Book Prize Committee. Exceptions may have to be made to almost any set of rules that we adopt. Still, we can attempt to follow a rotation and keep some continuity. We might, for example, set an upper limit of 4 or 5 years for committee service; anyone who reaches that level must rotate off, no matter what, so that we vary the judging forces. A normal term of service would be three years. In the second year, someone who has already served one or two years could chair, agreeing to stay on, if possible, another year after, to provide advice.

Expenses and planning:
Going from biennial to annual prizes will double the prize money to $500 per year. If we continue to ask the winner to attend the Cheiron meeting, this will also mean at least $300 every year, plus allotting that time in every Cheiron program to hear from the winner. We need to consider the increased expense of an annual prize, about $1000 per year (shipping, prize, $300 to attend meeting) rather than every other year. We also have to work the book prize event into the program, and our programs tend to be full. Of course, we are not required to continue the tradition of inviting the winner, if we find that too burdensome. In order to include the prize winner in the next meeting, the committee in any case needs to decide the winner by March 1, at latest, and let the program chair know.

Because the Book Prize involves shipping 15-30 books among four people, there are mailing expenses involved. Committee members are likely to include people in USA and Canada. (Shipping books overseas seems just too expensive.) Within the USA it is quite cheap to ship books as “Library Mail” (about $10 for 15 pounds, currently, and it takes about one week). If all committee members are in the USA, and books are shipped only as needed, the shipping costs can be well under $100. Shipping books within Canada is not that cheap. Shipping books between Canada and USA involves more expense and maybe even customs, but we could avoid customs because these are “used books” once they have landed in the hands of the committee chair, who distributes them to the other committee members. Having one committee member in Canada during our last prize competition added an extra cost of about $100. We may have to
consider the committee configuration, as to nationality, to coordinate and minimize the shipping costs every year.

David R. proposed that the Book Prize in 2017 will be for books from 2015 and 2016, received by October 2016. Books published later in the year can be submitted to the next competition. Phyllis Wentworth and Tony Stavely (chair and past chair) are sharing information with David R.

David R. noted that he once sat on a prize committee that judged 44 books. Tony asked whether we expect to receive half as many books, if we change. David R. responded that we cannot be sure. Deborah Johnson asked whether it has been difficult to make a choice in previous years, whether it has been tight competition. Gerald Sullivan remembered that the previous competition had a quick decision. In David R.’s experience it was more difficult, because there were three or four excellent books. Deborah questioned whether there are enough excellent books to warrant an annual prize. David R.: we could reserve the right not to award a prize if there are no worthy submissions, but this seems unlikely.

Harry Whitaker: The history of neuroscience group finds a prize every two years works well. Deborah: There could be a continuing Book Prize Committee even if it is still given every two years. Courtney Thompson: Is there a way to make the book prize part of a different conference event, maybe a short speech during the banquet? The real issue is whether the winner can attend, which is something the program chair needs to know well in advance. David R. noted that there may be some limitations on what we can do in Barcelona.

Kelli Vaughn Johnson suggested an option that would be interesting and would create a lasting record that could serve as promotional material: the winner could attend and give a reading from the book, recorded and posted on the Cheiron website. This would create a growing collection of readings from winners over the years. David R. welcomed this idea and the use of this technology. Kelli added that that it would also be good for students to view these materials from afar, even if they could not attend meetings. This is something to be considered for future programs.

Cathy Faye says she likes the book prize as it recognizes good work. Deborah Johnson queried the people who have sat on the Book Prize Committee: in a small organization like ours, how do we want our members spending their time? If we have people constantly reading for the Book Prize Committee they may not have energy to do other things. Tony recalled that, with the Prize Committee, there is a period of time when you have to look closely at things. He says that he was originally in favor of the change to the annual prize, but now questions the arguments in favour of it.

Nadine Weidman remarked that it is generally a good thing to give prizes; Courtney suggested that it could become two prizes. We could keep the Book Prize every two years, and every other year do an article prize, which would allow younger scholars to be considered and draw younger people to the organization. David R. notes that Cheiron originally established the Book Prize because other societies (FHHS, for example) had article prizes. In the meantime others have added book prizes, but ours remains unique because we call for such an eclectic group of books (Cheiron style).

Kathy Milar: What’s the question? Is it making the book prize annual? David R. reminded us once again that there is some expense involved in this change. Kathy: we have $94,000. David R.: the question is to change the Book Prize to annual; ready to vote? 14 in favour, 11 against; motion to change to annual Book Prize passed.
David R.: We do not want to change the Book Prize and then go back. Does anyone who voted “no” have big problems with the switch? We have the regularly scheduled Book Prize next year in Barcelona. Ian Lubek suggests deferring the final decision to Barcelona. David R.: we need to plan a year ahead, to get the committee in order, although we could certainly vote to cancel the change then; at the last minute we could call for submissions to a 2018 prize rather than 2017.

Deborah observes that there are no strong objections to this change. We can ask the Book Prize Committee to assess the situation, to consider alternating book and article prizes, etc. David R. says the call for submissions to the 2016 Book Prize will go out immediately. The committee will have at least one member in Canada, though two might be good for shipping convenience. The officers and Review Committee will work with the committee chair to come up with the 2016 Book Prize Committee.

IV. Election, Review Committee

Geoff Blowers (2012-15) term ends
Nathalie Chernoff (2012-15) term ends
Larry Stern (2013-16)
Jacy Young (2013-16)
Barbara Lusk (2014-17)
Elissa Rodkey (2014-17)

David Robinson says the Review Committee talked to candidates for election to the Review Committee. Four members agreed to stand, and we also take nominations from the floor. We ask Review Committee members to do their best to come to the meetings, and always to answer emails concerning our business. With no further nominations from the floor, the nominees stood to be recognized: Katalin Dzinias, Rodrigo Lopes Miranda, Tony Stavely, Hendrika Vande Kemp. Katalin Dzinias and Rodrigo Lopes Miranda were elected to the Cheiron Review Committee. Thanks were extended to Geoff and Nathalie for their service.

V. Future meetings

ESHHS: 7-10 July 2015 Angers, France
Joint Cheiron-ESHHS, 2016, Barcelona (Local Host: Annette Mülberger), 27 June through 1 July (Mon-Fri)
2016 Program Co-chair from Cheiron: Ingrid Farreras
Anyone volunteer to host our 2017 meeting? No answers.
2018 (50th Cheiron meeting) is planned for Akron, where the CHP will be newly reorganized and the archive and museum reopened.

David R. will be attending ESHHS 2015, as will Ian Lubek, Jim Good, and Nadine Weidman. Our Cheiron Program Co-chair fro 2016, Ingrid, hosted the 2015 Cheiron meeting and has been program chair before. (She was actually in Spain at the time of this meeting.) Annette is likely to hold the conference and use dorms at her university. Ian reported that he has been to several conferences in Barcelona, sometimes at Annette’s university, which is very comfortable, and sometimes in the city center. The center has pickpockets and small rooms, and you have to travel to the meeting locale. The only downside to being at the university is that nightlife is limited to academic discussion, whereas central Barcelona has much to offer. Katalin Dzinias asked about
the expected turnout for the joint meeting in Barcelona. David R. remembered about 110 in Montreal 2012 for the last joint meeting; David D. thought it was probably more. David R. notes that economic conditions in Spain are difficult: Cheiron is prepared with funds for something like a security deposit, if needed.

VI. Journal reports
David Robinson noted an urgent request from the Forum for History of Human Science: presenters at this meeting and others eligible for the Burnham Early Career Award should submit manuscripts via http://www.fhhs.org/. Submit in the next couple weeks, to be considered for this year’s award, presented at History of Science Society in San Francisco in November. The prize is for an article-length manuscript; if it is published in JHBS, the winner receives $500.

Ian Nicholson is still editor of Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences, Cheiron’s flagship journal, and Phyllis Wentworth is taking over as book review editor.

Nadine Weidman is incoming editor of History of Psychology. Nadine: We are looking for submissions, and there were lots of good papers at this conference. She promises an efficient and constructive review process. David R.: Wade Pickren set a high standard at History of Psychology; Nadine is sure to keep this up.

Jim Good was editor of History of the Human Sciences for many years. Jim: Now there are three incoming editors: Rhodri Hayward, Angus Nicholls, and Felicity Callard (editor in chief). He invited all to submit papers and proposals for special issues to the new editors.

David R. noted that Theory & Psychology is still edited by Hank Stam. Papers in that vein should be brought to his attention.

Kathy Milar reported that Al Fuchs has retired as history editor for the American Journal of Psychology; Kathy has taken over that position and wants submissions from us. She is aiming for one history piece per issue.

David R. extended hearty Cheiron thanks to Al and the other retiring editors.

VII. New Business
Two items: (1) investment income and (2) regular donation to Center for History of Psychology.

Cheiron treasurer, David Devonis, noted that our funds are approaching $100,000, but that interest rates on CDs are negligible and likely to stay very low. He has looked into C-share Mutual Funds and Managed Funds with no penalties for withdraws. The fees are reasonable (about 1.5 percent), and the expectation is that we could net between 3 and 5 percent over the long run, though of course the stock market rises and falls. The money is liquid, generally after two years. The funds in view are Franklin Templeton C-share Mutual Funds and Vanguard EMF. These are investment funds, not insured like CDs and regular bank accounts. 501(c) 3 organizations are limited in which mutual funds they can use; you cannot compare these investments to your retirement accounts. Unless the markets collapse, we could count on something like $2000 year interest to support our Book Prize and some Travel Stipends each year. Right now we barely get $250 from the CDs. David D.: Motion to put $65,000 in Franklin Templeton C-grade Mutual Funds. Chris Green: seconded.

Harry Whitaker and Andrew Winston (among others) raised concerns about Cheiron funds being exposed to the stock market and to management fees. Deborah Johnson mentioned the need to have at least two years of reserve funds in cash. Courtney Thompson and Cathy Faye wondered whether Cheiron could set up a formal endowment fund. General discussion addressed the need for more research, and many ideas and experiences were shared.
Elizabeth Scarborough: This could go on indefinitely. Motion to table until the meeting in Barcelona. Ian Lubek: Seconded. David R.: The vote is whether to table this motion and take it up again at the 2016 business meeting. *Unanimous vote to table.*

David R.: The second proposal in New Business is simpler. The Drs. Nicholas and Dorothy Cummings Center for the History of Psychology at University of Akron receives at least $500 guaranteed annually from us; we ask for donations every year and receive at least a good portion of that, then give the balance from our treasury if needed. It’s been some time since the pledged amount changed. Our relationship with CHP is very good, indeed essential right now, and big things are happening there with their restructuring. *The Review Committee recommends an increase to $750 guaranteed.* Kathy Milar: moved; Ian Lubek: seconded; passed *unanimously.*

Ian: About travel scholarships? David R.: We are doing Travel Stipends (as opposed to Student Stipends before) for the first time this year. There will be a report on it at the next business meeting. Without objection, we will adjourn.

*Adjourned.*

*Minutes recorded by Jacy Young.*